



Atma and Medicine: Bridging Ancient Wisdom and Modern Understanding of Life and Consciousness

HNS Bhatnagar*

ABSTRACT

Modern medicine has achieved remarkable advances in understanding the human body, yet the fundamental nature of life and consciousness remains incompletely understood. The ancient Indian concept of Atma offers a philosophical perspective on life, consciousness, and individual existence. This editorial explores possible interfaces between the concept of Atma and contemporary medicine, particularly in relation to energy, cellular organization, consciousness, unconsciousness, electrophysiology, and death. Atma should not be equated with any currently recognized physical form of energy or biological structure; rather, it may provide a philosophical framework for reflecting upon questions that remain at the boundaries of science and human understanding. Such dialogue can enrich medicine while maintaining the distinction between philosophical concepts and scientific evidence.

Keywords: Atma, Consciousness, Death, Energy, Indian philosophy, Life, Neuroscience.

Journal of The Association of Physicians of India (2026): 10.59556/japi.74.1737

INTRODUCTION

Medicine is fundamentally empirical. It observes, measures, and seeks reproducible explanations for biological phenomena. We can measure blood pressure, oxygen saturation, biochemical parameters, cardiac electrical activity, and cerebral electrical activity. Modern imaging and molecular biology can reveal the structure and function of the human body with extraordinary precision.

Yet one fundamental question remains unanswered:

What makes a living human being different from a collection of living cells?

We understand many mechanisms necessary for sustaining life, but the nature of consciousness, subjective experience, and the transition from biological activity to awareness remains incompletely understood.

Indian philosophical traditions contemplated these questions thousands of years ago. The concept of Atma has traditionally been associated with the essential principle of life, consciousness, and individual existence. Chetana, or consciousness, is closely related to this concept.

The universe, or Brahmand, may be conceptualized as a vast reservoir of energy manifesting in different forms. Within this philosophical framework, Atma may be viewed as a manifestation of this universal principle, continuing through different forms of existence.

Although such concepts belong primarily to philosophy and spirituality, they raise questions of considerable relevance to medicine.

ATMA AND THE ENERGY OF LIFE

Modern physics recognizes energy as a fundamental and measurable property that exists in different forms and undergoes transformation. Biology is similarly dependent on continuous energy transformation. Cells utilize chemical energy, generate adenosine triphosphate (ATP), maintain electrochemical gradients, and perform mechanical and synthetic work.

Atma, however, should not be equated with physical energy in the scientific sense. Energy in physics has a precise operational definition, whereas Atma is a philosophical and spiritual concept.

Nevertheless, the conceptual parallel is intriguing. Life is characterized by continuous transformation of energy and information. Atma may therefore be considered philosophically as a principle underlying the continuity and organization of life rather than as a measurable energy particle or physical substance.

FROM CONCEPTION TO THE LIVING ORGANISM

Life begins with an extraordinarily complex biological process. Fertilization brings together the genetic contributions of two individuals and initiates cellular division, differentiation, and progressive organization.

The developing organism receives nutrients and energy through the mother and, after birth, through nutrition. Throughout life, energy is continuously acquired, transformed, and utilized to maintain cellular and organ function.

This raises a deeper question:

At what point does biological existence become consciousness?

Developmental biology can increasingly describe the structural and functional maturation of the nervous system, but the emergence of subjective consciousness remains difficult to define.

This is one area where the philosophical concept of Atma and modern science address a common question from very different perspectives.

THE LIVING CELL

Every cell is a highly organized system of energy, information, and regulation. Mitochondria generate ATP, membrane potentials maintain cellular communication, and intracellular organelles coordinate the synthesis, modification, and transport of essential molecules.

Atma may be conceptualized as being present in minute form within living cells and as contributing philosophically to their vitality and organization.

The Golgi apparatus has well-established functions in protein and lipid modification, sorting, and intracellular trafficking. Describing it as a "step-up transformer" for Atma is therefore best regarded as a conceptual hypothesis or metaphor rather than an established biological mechanism.

The broader idea remains interesting: a living cell is much more than the sum of its biochemical constituents. Its remarkable organization depends upon continuous integration of energy, information, and structure.

ATMA AND CONSCIOUSNESS

Perhaps the most important interface between Atma and medicine is consciousness.

Atma or Chetana may be regarded philosophically as closely associated with consciousness and awareness. Modern

Former Professor and Head, Department of Medicine, RNT Medical College, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India; *Corresponding Author

How to cite this article: Bhatnagar HNS. Atma and Medicine: Bridging Ancient Wisdom and Modern Understanding of Life and Consciousness. J Assoc Physicians India 2026;74(10):89–90.

neuroscience, however, has not identified a single anatomical “seat” of consciousness. Consciousness appears to involve complex interactions among cortical and subcortical networks, including the cerebral cortex, thalamus, and ascending arousal systems.

Neuroscience has identified numerous neural correlates of conscious states, but identifying these correlates does not necessarily explain why neural activity is accompanied by subjective experience.

We can record brain activity associated with pain, memory, emotion, and awareness. Yet why an individual experiences these phenomena as a personal, subjective reality remains one of the major unanswered questions in science.

Atma therefore remains relevant as a philosophical concept—not necessarily as an alternative biological mechanism, but as a reminder that the mystery of consciousness has not yet been completely resolved by neuroscience.

UNCONSCIOUSNESS IS NOT DEATH

Clinically, an important distinction exists between unconsciousness and death.

Anesthesia, hypoglycemia, metabolic disorders, intoxication, and several neurological conditions can produce profound unconsciousness while brain function remains potentially reversible. Restoration of normal physiology may restore consciousness.

The concept of Atma may interpret this as a state in which consciousness becomes inactive while Atma continues to exist within the body.

Modern medicine does not require this explanation. Nevertheless, both perspectives highlight an important clinical principle:

Absence of consciousness does not necessarily mean death.

Death requires appropriate clinical determination and cannot be defined simply by lack of responsiveness.

ELECTRICAL MANIFESTATIONS OF LIFE

Living tissues have complex electrical properties. Cardiac electrical activity can be recorded through the electrocardiogram (ECG), while electrical activity of neuronal populations can be recorded through the electroencephalogram (EEG).

Atma may be compared metaphorically with an electrical current: it cannot be directly seen, but its manifestations may be inferred through measurable physiological activity.

However, ECG and EEG are not direct measurements of Atma. They record specific

electrical phenomena generated by the heart and brain.

Nevertheless, they demonstrate an important principle: living organisms continuously express measurable electrical, chemical, and informational activity.

This provides an interesting conceptual bridge between the philosophical idea of an underlying life principle and the measurable manifestations of biological function.

DEATH: AN EVENT OR A PROCESS?

Death is often perceived as an instantaneous event, but biology reveals a more complex process. Following cessation of circulation, different organs and tissues lose function at different rates. Cellular processes may continue for varying periods, and under appropriate conditions, some organs can remain viable for transplantation.

This does not establish persistence of consciousness or Atma after death. Rather, it demonstrates that death of the organism and cessation of every cellular process are not necessarily simultaneous events.

Modern transplantation medicine makes practical use of this biological window. The ability to preserve and transplant organs after death is one of the remarkable achievements of medicine.

The observation that tissues may remain viable for a period after death therefore invites philosophical reflection, but should not itself be interpreted as scientific evidence for the existence or persistence of Atma.

SCIENCE AND ATMA: COMPLEMENTARY QUESTIONS

Can Atma be scientifically demonstrated?

At present, if Atma is defined as a nonmaterial or transcendent principle, it cannot readily be investigated using conventional scientific methods. A scientific hypothesis requires operational definition, measurable parameters, and reproducible observations.

This does not make Atma meaningless. It simply places the concept in a different epistemological category.

Science asks:

What happens? How does it happen? Can it be measured and reproduced?

Philosophy asks:

What does existence mean? What is consciousness? What constitutes the self?

Medicine encounters both sets of questions every day.

The challenge is therefore not to prove a philosophical concept using inappropriate scientific measurements, nor to dismiss it because it lies beyond current scientific

methods. The challenge is to maintain scientific rigor together with intellectual humility.

BEYOND REDUCTIONISM

Modern medicine has achieved much of its success by analyzing complex systems into measurable components—genes, proteins, cells, organs, and neural circuits.

Yet the patient is more than a collection of these components.

Pain is more than a laboratory value. Suffering cannot be completely represented by imaging. Compassion cannot be reduced to a biochemical pathway. The physician–patient relationship contains dimensions that remain difficult to quantify.

The concept of Atma may therefore encourage medicine to retain a sense of wholeness.

This does not require accepting Atma as a biological entity. Rather, it reminds us that medicine deals not only with biological mechanisms but also with consciousness, subjective experience, dignity, relationships, and the meaning of illness.

CONCLUSION

Modern medicine has become extraordinarily proficient at understanding and manipulating the physical processes that sustain human life. Yet the deeper questions remain:

What is life? What is consciousness? What makes a human being a person rather than merely an organized collection of cells?

Atma offers one philosophical response to these questions, while modern biology provides explanations based on cellular organization, metabolism, electrophysiology, and neural networks.

These perspectives should neither be conflated nor unnecessarily placed in opposition.

The greatest value of bringing Atma into medical discourse may not be to propose a new biological mechanism, but to encourage medicine to remain open to questions that lie at the boundaries of science and philosophy.

Medicine must remain firmly grounded in evidence. At the same time, it must acknowledge the limits of present knowledge.

What we can measure may not yet encompass everything we seek to understand.

The mystery of consciousness remains one of the greatest frontiers of human knowledge. The dialogue between Atma and medicine may therefore serve an important purpose—not by replacing science with spirituality, but by reminding us that understanding the human body is not necessarily the same as understanding the human being.